

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,460	07/18/2001	Lynn B. Lunsford	08191-014002 1198		
<sup>26161</sup> FISH & RICH	7590 02/21/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1022			MARVICH, MARIA		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			<u></u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/909,460	LUNSFORD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633		

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 February 2007</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		_	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1-16,18-21,26,33</li> </ol>		able if submitted in a	separate, timely				
filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: 60 and 61.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-16,18-21,26,33-36,51-59 and 62</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17, 22, 24, 25 an</u>	<u>?-80</u> . nd 27-32						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>10 27-32</u> .						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: If the amendment were to be entered, claims 17, 22, 24, 25 and 27-32 would have to be rejoined. Therefore based upon these amendments, review of claims 17, 22, 24, 25 and 27-32 constitutes new consideration of the claims under 35 USC 112. For example, claim 28 is improper for inclusion of table 3 into the claims. Furthermore, this claim lacks antecedent basis for recitation of "the tumor antigen". As another example, it is not clear that the amino acids of SEQ ID NO:s 1-46 recited in claim 25 meet the limitations of claim 8 from which it depends.

Continuation of 13. Other: Had applicants' claim amendment of 1/30/07 had been entered, applicants reply would have overcome the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103. Applicants' claim amendment would be entered by cancellation of the non-elected claims, see item 6 above.

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER